



紀律研訊個案 Disciplinary hearing case

以有別於賣方指示的價格宣傳物業 Advertising property at a price different from that instructed by the vendor

引言

持牌人應注意物業廣告中顯示的放盤價必須與業主指示的一致。否則，他們有機會被監管局紀律處分。

Introduction

Licensees should note that the price stated in property advertisements must be in accordance with the vendor's instructions. Otherwise, they may be subject to disciplinary action by the EAA.

事件經過

一名業主的代表委託數家地產代理公司放售一個屬於其已去世親屬的住宅物業。其後，其中一家地產代理公司向他查詢是否更改了該物業的放盤價。該名業主代表此時才發現他委託的另一家地產代理公司，在其店舖櫥窗刊登一則廣告，指該物業的放盤價為1,368萬元，比他指示的放盤價下調了很多。業主代表深感不滿，遂向監管局作出投訴。

Incident

A vendor's representative appointed several estate agencies to sell a residential property belonged to a deceased relative. Later, he received an inquiry from one of the appointed agencies that if the listing price of the property concerned has been revised. The vendor's representative then discovered that another agency he appointed had issued an advertisement of the property in its shop window with a listing price of \$13,680,000, which was much lower than his instructed price. Feeling dissatisfied, the vendor's representative lodged a complaint with the EAA.

研訊結果

監管局紀律委員會認為，該地產代理公司以有別於賣方指示的價格宣傳該物業，因而違反了《地產代理常規（一般責任及香港住宅物業）規例》第9(3)條。

此外，監管局於調查期間發現該地產代理公司在接受客戶的委託處理該物業的出售事宜前，只依賴客戶的口頭表述而未有核實其賣方的身份，因而違反了《操守守則》第3.7.2段，即「地產代理和營業員應避免做出可能令地產代理行業信譽及/或名聲受損的行為。」

考慮到個案的性質及該地產代理公司的違規紀錄，紀律委員會決定譴責該公司，並向其罰款共45,000元。

Result

The EAA Disciplinary Committee was of the view that the estate agency company advertised the property at a price different from that instructed by the vendor. Hence, it was in breach of Section 9(3) of the Estate Agents Practice (General Duties and Hong Kong Residential Properties) Regulation.

Moreover, during the investigation of the case, the EAA found out that the estate agency company only relied on the client's verbal representation without any verification of his capacity as vendor when accepting the appointment to handle the sale of the property. Thus, it failed to comply with paragraph 3.7.2 of the *Code of Ethics*, which states that "Estate agents and salespersons should avoid any practice which may bring discredit and/or disrepute to the estate agency trade".

Having considered the nature and gravity of the case and the disciplinary record of that estate agency company, the Committee decided to reprimand it and impose a fine of \$45,000.



蕭亮鴻先生
地產代理人員協會副主席
Mr Keith Siu
Vice-chairman of Property Agents
Association

業界意見 Comment from trade

地產代理除了應具備專業知識外，保持誠信亦不可忽視。必須遵照客人的意願及指示行事。不能為了促成交易或其他原因，而未經客人同意下，擅自更改客人指示。這樣不但違反有關法例及操守守則，亦破壞了客人的信任。若此等不當行為不加以遏止，會對整個地產代理行業的專業形象造成負面影響。

In addition to professional knowledge, integrity is also a must for being a professional estate agent. They should act according to the instructions of their clients and should not change the clients' instructions without seeking the clients' consent, as it will breach the regulations and *Code of Ethics*. Such behaviour will also damage the clients' confidence and tarnish the professional image of the entire estate agency trade.

紀律研訊個案 Disciplinary hearing case

買方取消交易後被扣起臨約及訂金 Withholding the PASP and deposit after the purchaser cancelled the transaction

引言

持牌人在執業期間，應避免作出任何損害地產代理行業信譽及/或名聲的行為，否則有機會被監管局紀律處分。

Introduction

Licensees should avoid any behaviour that may bring discredit and/or disrepute to the estate agency trade in their practice. Otherwise, they may be subject to disciplinary action by the EAA.